

## HookED SOLO Taxonomy and the Science Capabilities

NoS Capabilities: <b>Gather &amp; interpret data.</b>					
	Prestructural	Unistructural	Multistructural	Relational	Extended Abstract
<b>Gather data</b> (making observations using the senses and measurement)  <i>What did you see, hear, feel (touch), smell, taste or measure? What did you notice?</i>	I need help to ...  • <b>Observe events</b>  <i>Reliable- If you repeated the observation would you get similar results?</i> <i>Accurate- Is the observation/measurement without error or mistakes</i> <i>Valid – do the results really measure what is being tested?</i> <i>What other variables may be influencing the result?</i>	If shown how I can ...  • <b>Observe events</b>	I can ...  • <b>Observe events</b>  BUT I am not confident about the accuracy and reliability of my observations – e.g. would other people see what I see/measure what I measure etc.	I can ...  • <b>Observe events</b>  AND I know how, why and when to do this so that my observations are accurate and reliable.	AND I can back up my observations and inference with evidence to increase the validity.
<b>Interpret data</b> (making inferences about what I observe - figuring out - explaining what the observations mean).  <i>Why do you think it is like that? What did that mean?</i>	I need help to ...  • <b>Make inference/s</b> (figure out what the observations mean)	If shown how I can ...  • <b>Make inference/s</b> (figure out what the observations mean)	I can ...  • <b>Make inference/s</b> (figure out what the observations mean)  BUT I am not confident about the logic of my inference/s	I can ...  • <b>Make inference/s</b> (figure out what the observations mean)  I know how, why, and when and how to do this so that my inferences make sense.	
<b>Effective Strategies</b>	HookED SOLO Describe ++ Map and self assessment rubrics		<i>[because]</i>		<i>[because ...because]</i>

NoS Capabilities: <b>Use evidence</b>					
	Prestructural	Unistructural	Multistructural	Relational	Extended Abstract
<b>NoS Capabilities</b>  • <b>Use evidence.</b>  <i>I know this because ...</i> <i>I think this because ...</i> <i>I checked this by ...</i> <i>An example of this is ...</i> <i>An exception would be ...</i>  [because ... because]	I do not use evidence to support my observations.  I do not use evidence to support my inference about what I think is happening.	I can use evidence if directed or shown how.	I can use evidence to back up my observations/inference.  But I am not sure if the evidence is relevant or accurate.	I can select and use relevant evidence to back up my observations/inference.  [Evidence is relevant, accurate and reliable]	I can select, critique and use relevant evidence to back up my observations/inference.  [Evidence is relevant, accurate, reliable and valid.]
<b>Effective Strategies</b>					

NoS Capabilities: <b>Critique evidence</b>					
	Prestructural	Unistructural	Multistructural	Relational	Extended Abstract
<b>NoS Capabilities</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Critique evidence</b>, [evaluate evidence for its accuracy, reliability (repeatability) and validity (random sample groups/control variables etc)]</li></ul>	I do not critique evidence	I can critique evidence if I am shown how.	I can critique the accuracy, reliability and validity of evidence BUT I am not certain about how, why or when to do this	I can critique the accuracy, reliability and validity of evidence.  I can explain how and why my evidence is accurate, reliable and valid.	AND I can seek out errors, unwarranted assumptions and or alternative explanations to improve the accuracy, reliability and validity of my evidence.
<b>Effective Strategies</b>					

NoS Capabilities: <b>Interpret representations</b>					
	Prestructural	Unistructural	Multistructural	Relational	Extended Abstract
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Interpret representations.</b></li> </ul> <p>[<b>interpret</b> – make meaning] [<b>representation</b> - a model, graph, chart, diagram - written, visual, verbal and multimodal texts representing a thing or process]</p>	I need help to interpret a representation of a scientific thing or process.	I can interpret a representation of a scientific thing or process if directed.  [directed – shown how]	I can interpret a representation of a scientific thing or process.  BUT I am not sure if my interpretation is accurate, reliable or valid.	I can interpret a representation of a scientific thing or process.  AND I can explain why my interpretation is accurate, reliable and valid.	I seek and act on feedback from others on how I can improve my interpretation of a representation.
<b>Effective Strategies</b>		This representation identifies that ...	This representation shows us ...	The message from the representation is that [...] because [...]	This representation is effective/ineffective because ...  This representation leaves out/ omits/ exaggerates/ oversimplifies ...

<b>NoS Capabilities:</b> <b>Engage with science</b>					
	<b>Prestructural</b>	<b>Unistructural</b>	<b>Multistructural</b>	<b>Relational</b>	<b>Extended Abstract</b>
<b>NoS Capabilities</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Engage with science.</b></li></ul>	I do not engage with science in real life contexts.	I can engage with science in real life contexts if I am prompted or directed.	I can engage with science in real life contexts BUT I am not sure how or why I should be doing this so I make mistakes.	I can engage with science in real life contexts.  I can explain how and why I am engaging with science.	I seek and act on feedback from others on how I can improve the ways in which I engage with science in real life contexts.
<b>Effective Strategies</b>					